

A constructive method for linear extensions of Zadeh's fuzzy order

Abdelkader STOUTI

Laboratory of Mathematics and Applications, Faculty of Sciences and Techniques, University
Sultan Moulay Slimane, P.O. Box 523, 23000 Beni-Mellal, MOROCCO.

E-mail: stout@fstbm.ac.ma

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we give a constructive method for linear extensions of Zadeh's fuzzy orders. We also characterize Zadeh's fuzzy orders by their linear extensions.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03E72, 04A72, 06A05, 06A06.

Keywords: fuzzy set, fuzzy relation, Zadeh's fuzzy order, linear Zadeh's fuzzy order, linear extension.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

In 1971, Zadeh [11] introduced the notion of fuzzy order. Since then, the theory of fuzzy order was developed by many authors (for example see: [1-4] and [6, 8, 9, 12, 13]). In this paper, we first establish a constructive method for linear extensions of Zadeh's fuzzy orders. Secondly, we prove that every Zadeh's fuzzy order defined on a finite set is the fuzzy intersection of its all linear Zadeh's fuzzy extensions.

This paper is organized as follows. In the first section, we recall some well know definitions and results. In the second section, we first give the key result of the present paper (see Lemma 2.2). For the case of finite sets, a constructive method for linear extensions of Zadeh's fuzzy orders is given in the second section (see Theorem 2.1). In the third section, we characterize Zadeh's fuzzy orders by their linear extensions (see

Theorem 3.1). In the forth section, we give some examples of building linear extensions of Zadeh's fuzzy orders.

Next, we will recall some well know definitions and results.

Let X be a nonempty set. A fuzzy subset A of X is characterized by its membership function $A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $A(x)$ is interpreted as the degree of membership of the element x in the fuzzy subset A for each $x \in X$.

Definition 1.1 [11]. *Let X be a nonempty set. A fuzzy relation r on X is a function $r : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1]$. For every $x, y \in X$, the value $r(x, y)$ is called the grade of membership of (x, y) in r and means how far x and y are related under r .*

In [11], Zadeh gave the following definition of fuzzy order.

Definition 1.2 [11]. *Let X be a nonempty crisp set. A Zadeh's fuzzy order on X is a fuzzy subset r of $X \times X$ satisfying the following three properties:*

- (i) *for all $x \in X$, $r(x, x) = 1$, (Z-fuzzy reflexivity);*
- (ii) *for all $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$ and $r(x, y) > 0$ imply $r(y, x) = 0$ (Z-fuzzy antisymmetry);*
- iii) *for all $x, z \in X$, $r(x, z) \geq \sup_{y \in X} [\min\{r(x, y), r(y, z)\}]$, (Z-fuzzy transitivity).*

A nonempty set X with a Zadeh's fuzzy order r defined on it is called Zadeh's-fuzzy ordered set (for short, Z-foset) and we denote it by (X, r) .

If Y is a subset of an Z-foset (X, r) , then the Z-fuzzy order r is a Z-fuzzy order on Y and is called the induced fuzzy order.

A Z-fuzzy order r is linear (total) on X if for every $x, y \in X$, we have $r(x, y) > 0$ or $r(y, x) > 0$. A Z-fuzzy ordered set (X, r) in which r is linear is called a Z-fuzzy chain. If there is at least two elements $x, y \in X$ such that $r(x, y) = r(y, x) = 0$, then the Z-fuzzy order r is said to be a partial Z-fuzzy order.

Let (X, r) be a Z-fuzzy ordered set and A be a subset of X .

(a) An element $u \in X$ is a r -upper bound of A if $r(x, u) > 0$ for all $x \in A$. If u is r -upper bound of A and $u \in A$, then u is called a greatest element of A . The r -lower bound and least element are defined analogously.

(b) An element $m \in A$ is called a maximal element of A if $r(m, x) > 0$ for some $x \in A$, then $x = m$. Minimal elements are defined similarly.

(c) An element $s \in X$ is the r -supremum of A if s is a r -upper bound of A and for all r -upper bound u of A , we have $r(s, u) > 0$. When s exists, we shall write $s = \sup_r(A)$. Similarly, $l \in X$ is the r -infimum of A if l is a r -lower bound of A and for all r -lower bound k of A , we have $r(k, l) > 0$. When l exists we shall write $l = \inf_r(A)$.

Definition 1.3 Let X be a nonempty set and r, r' be two Zadeh's fuzzy orders on X . We say that r' is an extension of r if $r(x, y) \leq r'(x, y)$, for every $(x, y) \in X^2$.

Definition 1.4 Let (X, r) be a nonempty Zadeh's fuzzy ordered set and let a, b be two elements of X . We say that a and b are incomparable in (X, r) if $r(a, b) = r(b, a) = 0$.

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, we set $\max\{x, y\} = x \vee y$ and $\min\{x, y\} = x \wedge y$.

Example 1.5 1. Let r_1 and r_2 be the two fuzzy relations defined on $\mathbb{R}$ by:

$$r_1(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = y; \\ \min(1, \frac{y-x}{2}), & \text{if } x < y \\ 0, & \text{if } x > y \end{cases}$$

and

$$r_2(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ 0,75 & \text{if } x > y \\ 0 & \text{if } x < y. \end{cases}$$

Then, r_1 and r_2 are two Zadeh's fuzzy orders on $\mathbb{R}$.

2. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and r be the fuzzy relation defined on X by the following table:

	a	b	c
a	1	0	γ
b	0	1	0
c	0	0	1

Then, r is a Zadeh's fuzzy order on X .

3. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and r be the fuzzy relation defined on X by the following matrix:

	a	b	c	d
a	1	0	γ	λ
b	0	1	α	β
c	0	0	1	0
d	0	0	0	1

Then, r is a Zadeh's fuzzy order on X .

2 A constructive method for linear extensions of Zadeh's fuzzy orders defined on finite sets

In this section, we will show how one can constructs a linear extension of a partial Zadeh's fuzzy order defined on a finite nonempty set X . More precisely, we will prove the following result.

Theorem 2.1 *Every Zadeh's fuzzy order on finite nonempty set X can be extended to a linear Zadeh's fuzzy order on X .*

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, we will need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 2.2 *Let (X, r) be a nonempty Zadeh's fuzzy ordered set and let a, b be two elements of X such that $r(b, a) = 0$. Then, there exists at least a Zadeh's fuzzy order r' on X which extends r such that $r'(a, b) = 1$ and $r'(b, a) = 0$.*

Proof. Let (X, r) be a Zadeh's fuzzy ordered set and let $a, b \in X$ such that $r(b, a) = 0$. Let s be the fuzzy relation defined on X by setting

$$r'(x, y) = \max\{r(x, y), \min(r(x, a), r(b, y))\}.$$

In what follows, we will write $r'(x, y) = r(x, y) \vee (r(x, a) \wedge r(b, y))$. We claim that r' is a Zadeh's fuzzy order on X which extends r .

Claim 1. The fuzzy relation r' is Z-fuzzy reflexive. Let $x \in X$. Then, we have $r'(x, x) = r(x, x) \vee (r(x, a) \wedge r(b, x)) = 1 \vee (r(x, a) \wedge r(b, x)) = 1$. Thus, r' is Z-fuzzy reflexive.

Claim 2. The fuzzy relation r' is Z-fuzzy antisymmetric. Indeed, let $x, y \in X$ such that $r'(x, y) > 0$ and $x \neq y$. Then, as $r'(y, x) = r(y, x) \vee (r(y, a) \wedge r(b, x))$, we have four cases to study.

First case. We have: $r'(x, y) = r(x, y) > 0$ and $r(x, a) \wedge r(b, y) > 0$. As r is Z-fuzzy antisymmetric, we get $r(y, x) = 0$. Hence, we obtain $r'(y, x) = r(y, a) \wedge r(b, x)$. On the other hand since r is Z-fuzzy transitive, so we get $r(b, a) \geq r(b, y) \wedge r(y, a)$. Since $r(b, a) = 0$ and $r(b, y) > 0$, then we obtain $r(y, a) = 0$. Thus, we have $r'(y, x) = 0$.

Second case. We have: $r'(x, y) = r(x, y) > 0$ and $r(x, a) \wedge r(b, y) = 0$. Then, as r is Z-fuzzy antisymmetric, we get $r(y, x) = 0$. Hence, $r'(y, x) = r(y, a) \wedge r(b, x)$. Since $r(x, a) \wedge r(b, y) = 0$, so we have $r(x, a) = 0$ or $r(b, y) = 0$.

(a) First subcase. We have: $r(x, a) = 0$. Then, since r is Z-fuzzy transitive we get $r(x, a) \geq r(x, y) \wedge r(y, a)$. As $r(x, a) = 0$ and $r(x, y) > 0$, so we obtain $r(y, a) = 0$. Hence, we get $r'(y, x) = 0$.

(b) Second subcase. We have: $r(b, y) = 0$. Then, as r is Z-fuzzy transitive, we get $r(b, y) \geq r(b, x) \wedge r(x, y)$. Since $r(b, y) = 0$ and $r(x, y) > 0$, so we have $r(b, x) = 0$. Thus, we obtain $r'(y, x) = 0$.

Third case. We have: $r'(x, y) = r(x, a) \wedge r(b, y) > 0$ and $r(x, y) > 0$. Then, as r is Z-fuzzy antisymmetric we get $r(y, x) = 0$. Hence, we obtain $r'(y, x) = r(y, a) \wedge r(b, x)$. Then, since r is Z-fuzzy transitive we get $r(b, a) \geq r(b, y) \wedge r(y, a)$. As $r(b, a) = 0$ and $r(b, y) > 0$, so we get $r(y, a) = 0$. Thus, we obtain $r'(y, x) = 0$.

Fourth case. We have: $r'(x, y) = r(x, a) \wedge r(b, y) > 0$ and $r(x, y) = 0$. So, as r is Z-fuzzy transitive, we get $r(b, a) \geq r(b, x) \wedge r(x, a)$. Since $r(b, a) = 0$ and $r(x, a) > 0$, then we obtain $r(b, x) = 0$. Hence, we have $r(y, a) \wedge r(b, x) = 0$. So, we get $r'(y, x) = r(y, x)$. Then, by using the Z-fuzzy transitivity of r we obtain $r(b, x) \geq r(b, y) \wedge r(y, x)$. As $r(b, x) = 0$ and $r(b, y) > 0$, so we obtain $r(y, x) = 0$. Hence, we get $r'(y, x) = 0$. Therefore, r' is Z-fuzzy antisymmetric.

Claim 3. The fuzzy relation r' is Z-fuzzy transitive. Indeed, let $x, y, z \in X$. Then, we have four cases to study.

First case. We have: $r'(x, y) = r(x, y)$ and $r'(y, z) = r(y, z)$. Then we get $r'(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z) = r(x, y) \wedge r(y, z)$. Since r is Z-fuzzy transitive, so we obtain $r(x, z) \geq r(x, y) \wedge r(y, z)$. Hence, $r(x, z) \geq r'(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z)$. On the other hand since $r'(x, z) = r(x, z) \vee (r(x, a) \wedge r(b, z))$, then we get $r'(x, z) \geq r(x, z)$. Thus, we have $r'(x, z) \geq r'(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z)$.

Second case. We have: $r'(x, y) = r(x, a) \wedge r(b, y)$ and $r'(y, z) = r(y, a) \wedge r(b, z)$. So we get, $r'(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z) = r(x, a) \wedge r(b, y) \wedge r(y, a) \wedge r(b, z)$. Since $r(b, a) = 0$ and r is Z-fuzzy transitive, so we get $r(b, a) \geq r(b, y) \wedge r(y, a)$. Then, we obtain $r(b, y) \wedge r(y, a) = 0$. Hence, we have $r'(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z) = 0$. Thus, $r'(x, z) \geq r'(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z)$.

Third case. We have: $r'(x, y) = r(x, y)$ and $r'(y, z) = r(y, a) \wedge r(b, z)$. Then, we get $r'(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z) = r(x, y) \wedge r(y, a) \wedge r(b, z)$. On the other hand, as $r'(x, z) = r(x, z) \vee (r(x, a) \wedge r(b, z))$, so $r'(x, z) \geq r(x, a) \wedge r(b, z)$. As r is Z-fuzzy transitive, then we get $r(x, a) \geq r(x, y) \wedge r(y, a)$. Hence, we obtain $r'(x, z) \geq r(x, y) \wedge r(y, a) \wedge r(b, z)$. Thus, we have $r'(x, z) \geq r'(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z)$.

Fourth case. We have: $r'(x, y) = r(x, a) \wedge r(b, y)$ and $r'(y, z) = r(y, z)$. So we get, $r'(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z) = r(x, a) \wedge r(b, y) \wedge r(y, z)$. On the other hand as $r'(x, z) = r(x, z) \vee (r(x, a) \wedge r(b, z))$, so we get $r'(x, z) \geq r(x, a) \wedge r(b, z)$. In addition, By using the Z-fuzzy transitivity of r we get $r(b, z) \geq r(b, y) \wedge r(y, z)$. Hence, we obtain $r'(x, z) \geq r(x, a) \wedge r(b, y) \wedge r(y, z)$. So, we obtain $r'(x, z) \geq r'(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z)$. Then, we deduce that $r'(x, z) \geq \min\{r'(x, y), r'(y, z)\}$, for every $y \in X$. Thus, r' is Z-fuzzy transitive. Therefore, r' is a Zadeh's fuzzy order on X .

Claim 4. The Zadeh's fuzzy order r' is an extension of r . Indeed, as for all $(x, y) \in X^2$, we have $r'(x, y) = \max\{r(x, y), \min(r(x, a), r(b, y))\} \geq r(x, y)$. So, r' is an extension of r . Moreover, we have

$$r'(a, b) = \max\{r(a, b), \min(r(a, a), r(b, b))\} = 1$$

and

$$r'(b, a) = \max\{r(b, a), \min(r(b, a), r(b, a))\} = r(b, a) = 0.$$

□

Now we are able to give the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a finite set and let r be a Zadeh's fuzzy order on X . If x_1 is comparable to every x_i for $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, it is ok. If not, by applying at most $n - 1$ times Lemma 2.2, we get a Zadeh's fuzzy order r_1 , say, which extends r and satisfies

$$r_1(x_1, x_i) > 0 \text{ or } r_1(x_i, x_1) > 0 \text{ for every } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Now, if x_2 is comparable to every x_i for $i \in \{3, \dots, n\}$, it is ok. If it is not the case, by applying at most $n - 2$ times Lemma 2.2, we get a Zadeh's fuzzy order r_2 which extends

r_2 such that

$$r_2(x_j, x_i) > 0 \text{ or } r_2(x_i, x_j) > 0 \text{ for every } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \text{ and } j = 1, 2.$$

By induction for every $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, there exists a Zadeh's fuzzy order r_k , say, which extends r_{k-1} and satisfies the following:

$$r_k(x_j, x_i) > 0 \text{ or } r_k(x_i, x_j) > 0 \text{ for every } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \text{ and } i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Since $r \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_{n-2} \leq r_{n-1}$, then $r \leq r_{n-1}$. Thus, we have obtain a linear Zadeh's fuzzy order r_{n-1} which extends r . $\square$

Remark 2.3 *Let k be number of the times of application of Lemma 2.2 in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Then, the naturel number k satisfies $k \leq \frac{m}{2}$ where $m = \text{card}(A)$ and*

$$A = \{(x_i, x_j) \in X^2, \text{ such that } r(x_i, x_j) = r(x_j, x_i) = 0\}.$$

As $m \leq n(n-1)$, then we get $k \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.

3 A characterization of Zadeh's fuzzy orders by their linear extensions

In this section, we will characterize Zadeh's fuzzy orders which are defined on a finite nonempty set X by their linear extensions. More precisely, we will prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1 *Every Zadeh's fuzzy order on a finite nonempty set X is the fuzzy intersection of all linear Zadeh's fuzzy orders which extend it.*

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we will need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *Let (X, r) be a finite nonempty Zadeh's fuzzy ordered set and let a, b be two elements of X such that $r(a, b) > 0$. Then, there exists at least a linear Zadeh's fuzzy order r' on X which extends r such that $r'(a, b) = r(a, b)$.*

Proof. Let (X, r) be a nonempty Zadeh's fuzzy ordered set and let a, b be two elements of X such that $r(a, b) > 0$. If r is linear then we take $s = r$ and Lemma 3.2 is proved. If it is not the case, then from Theorem 2.1 there exist a linear Zadeh's fuzzy order r' on X which extends r . If $r(a, b) = r'(a, b)$, then we take $s = r'$ and Lemma 3.2 is proved. If it is not the case, then assume that we have $r(a, b) < r'(a, b)$. So, we set $\beta = r(a, b)$. Hence, we get $0 < \beta < 1$. Next, we will define the following fuzzy relation s on X by setting:

$$s(x, y) = \begin{cases} r'(x, y), & \text{if } r(x, y) > \beta; \\ \beta \wedge r'(x, y), & \text{if } r(x, y) \leq \beta. \end{cases}$$

We claim that s is a Zadeh's fuzzy order which extends r and satisfies $s(a, b) = r(a, b)$.

Claim 1. The fuzzy relation s is a linear Zadeh's fuzzy order on X .

(i) Z-fuzzy reflexivity. For all $x \in X$ we have $r(x, x) = 1 > \beta$, then we get $s(x, x) = r'(x, x) = 1$. Thus s is fuzzy reflexive.

(ii) Z-fuzzy antisymmetry. Let $x, y \in X$ such that $s(x, y) > 0$ and $x \neq y$. To show that $s(y, x) = 0$, we have to consider two subcases.

First subcase. We have: $s(x, y) = r'(x, y) > 0$. Then, $r(x, y) > \beta$. As r' is Z-fuzzy antisymmetric, so we get $r'(y, x) = 0$. On the other hand, since $r(x, y) > \beta > 0$ and r is antisymmetric, then we get $r(y, x) = 0 \leq \beta$. Hence, $s(y, x) = \beta \wedge r'(y, x) = 0$. Thus, s is fuzzy antisymmetric.

Second subcase. We have: $s(x, y) = \beta \wedge r'(x, y) > 0$. Then, we get $r'(x, y) > 0$. By the fuzzy antisymmetry of r' we obtain $r'(y, x) = 0$. As $r(y, x) \leq r'(y, x)$, then we obtain $r(y, x) = 0 \leq \beta$. Hence, we get $s(y, x) = \beta \wedge r'(y, x) = 0$. Thus, s is fuzzy antisymmetric.

(iii) Z-fuzzy transitivity. Let $x, y, z \in X$. To prove that $s(x, z) \geq \{s(x, y), s(y, z)\}$, we have to distinguish the following four cases.

First case. We have: $s(x, y) = r'(x, y)$ and $s(y, z) = r'(y, z)$. Then, we get $r(x, y) > \beta$ and $r(y, z) > \beta$. As r is Z-fuzzy transitive, so $r(x, z) \geq r(x, y) \wedge r(y, z)$. Hence, $r(x, z) > \beta$. Then, we obtain $s(x, z) = r'(x, z)$. From the fuzzy transitivity of r' we get $r'(x, z) \geq r'(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z)$. Thus, $s(x, z) \geq s(x, y) \wedge s(y, z)$.

Second case. We have: $s(x, y) = r'(x, y)$ and $s(y, z) = \beta \wedge r'(y, z)$. Then, we get $r(x, y) > \beta$ and $r(y, z) \leq \beta$. So, we obtain $r(x, y) \wedge r(y, z) \leq \beta$. Next, we have two subcases to consider.

First subcase. We have: $r(x, z) > \beta$. So, we get $s(x, z) = r'(x, z)$. As r' is Z-fuzzy transitive, we obtain $r'(x, z) \geq r'(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z)$. So, we get $r'(x, z) \geq r'(x, y) \wedge (\beta \wedge r'(y, z))$. Thus, we obtain $s(x, z) \geq s(x, y) \wedge s(y, z)$.

Second subcase. We have: $r(x, z) \leq \beta$. Then, $s(x, z) = \beta \wedge r'(x, z)$. Since r' is Z-fuzzy transitive, hence we get $r'(x, z) \geq r'(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z)$ and $\beta \wedge r'(x, z) \geq r'(x, y) \wedge (\beta \wedge r'(y, z))$. Thus, $s(x, z) \geq s(x, y) \wedge s(y, z)$.

Third case. We have: $s(x, y) = \beta \wedge r'(x, y)$ and $s(y, z) = r'(y, z)$. Then, we get $r(x, y) \leq \beta$ and $r(y, z) > \beta$. So, $r(x, y) \wedge r(y, z) \leq \beta$. For this case we have two subcases to study.

First subcase. We have: $r(x, z) > \beta$. Then, we get $s(x, z) = r'(x, z)$. Since r' is Z-fuzzy transitive, so we have $r'(x, z) \geq r'(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z)$ and $r'(x, z) \geq (\beta \wedge r'(x, y)) \wedge r'(y, z)$. Thus, we obtain $s(x, z) \geq s(x, y) \wedge s(y, z)$.

Second subcase. We have: $r(x, z) \leq \beta$. Then, $s(x, z) = \beta \wedge r'(x, z)$. Since r' is Z-fuzzy transitive, we get $r'(x, z) \geq r'(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z)$ and $\beta \wedge r'(x, z) \geq (\beta \wedge r'(x, y)) \wedge r'(y, z)$. Thus, $s(x, z) \geq s(x, y) \wedge s(y, z)$.

Fourth case. We have: $s(x, y) = \beta \wedge r'(x, y)$ and $s(y, z) = \beta \wedge r'(y, z)$. Then, we get $r(x, y) \leq \beta$ and $r(y, z) \leq \beta$. So, $r(x, y) \wedge r(y, z) \leq \beta$. For this case we have two subcases to distinguish.

First subcase. We have: $r(x, z) > \beta$. Then, we have $s(x, z) = r'(x, z)$. As r' is fuzzy transitive, so we obtain $r'(x, z) \geq r'(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z)$. Hence, $r'(x, z) \geq (\beta \wedge r'(x, y)) \wedge (\beta \wedge r'(y, z))$. Thus, we get $s(x, z) \geq s(x, y) \wedge s(y, z)$.

Second subcase. We have: $r(x, z) \leq \beta$. Then, we get $s(x, z) = \beta \wedge r'(x, z)$. Since r' is Z-fuzzy transitive, so we obtain $r'(x, z) \geq r'(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z)$ and $\beta \wedge r'(x, z) \geq (\beta \wedge r'(x, y)) \wedge (\beta \wedge r'(y, z))$. Thus, we get $s(x, z) \geq s(x, y) \wedge s(y, z)$. Thus, we obtain $s(x, z) \geq \min\{s(x, y), s(y, z)\}$, for all $y \in X$. Hence, s is fuzzy transitive. Therefore, s is a Zadeh's fuzzy order on X .

Claim 2. The Z-fuzzy order relation s is linear. Indeed, let $x, y \in X$, such that $x \neq y$. Then, since r' is a linear Zadeh's fuzzy order we get $r'(x, y) > 0$ or $r'(y, x) > 0$.

First case. We have: $r'(x, y) > 0$. Then, $s(x, y) = r'(x, y)$ or $s(x, y) = \beta \wedge r'(x, y)$. So, we obtain $s(x, y) > 0$.

Second case. We have: $r'(y, x) > 0$. Then, $s(y, x) = r'(y, x)$ or $s(y, x) = \beta \wedge r'(y, x)$. So, we get $s(y, x) > 0$.

Claim 3. We have: $r(x, y) \leq s(x, y)$. To show this, we will distinguish two cases.

First case. We have: $r(x, y) > \beta$. Then, $s(x, y) = r'(x, y)$. As r' is an extension of r , so $r(x, y) \leq s(x, y)$.

Second case. We have: $r(x, y) \leq \beta$. Then, $r'(x, y) = \beta \wedge r'(x, y)$. Since $r(x, y) \leq \beta$ and $r(x, y) \leq r'$, then $r(x, y) \leq \beta \wedge r'(x, y)$. Thus, we have $r(x, y) \leq s(x, y)$. Therefore, the Lemma 3.2 is proved. $\square$

Next, we are ready to give the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let r be a Zadeh's fuzzy order on a nonempty finite set X . If r is a linear Zadeh's fuzzy order, then it is ok. If not, let A be the set of all linear Zadeh's fuzzy orders on X which extend r . From Theorem 2.1, A is nonempty. Let s_0 be the fuzzy intersection of all elements of A . Then, we have

$$s_0(x, y) = \inf_{s \in A} s(x, y), \text{ for every } (x, y) \in X^2.$$

Claim 1. The fuzzy relation s_0 is a Zadeh's fuzzy order on X .

(a) Z-fuzzy reflexivity. Let $x \in X$. Then, $s(x, x) = 1$ for every $s \in A$. So, $s_0(x, x) = 1$. Thus, r is Z-fuzzy reflexive.

(b) Z-fuzzy antisymmetry. Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$ and $s_0(x, y) > 0$. Let $s \in A$. Then, $s(x, y) > 0$. On the other hand, we know that s is Z-fuzzy antisymmetric. So, we get $s(y, x) = 0$. Hence, $s_0(y, x) = \inf_{s \in A} s(y, x) = 0$. Thus, s_0 is Z-fuzzy antisymmetric.

(c) Z-fuzzy transitivity. Let $x, y, z \in X$ and $s \in A$. Then, we have

$$s_0(x, y) \leq s(x, y) \text{ and } s_0(y, z) \leq s(y, z).$$

Hence, we get

$$\min\{s_0(x, y), s_0(y, z)\} \leq \min\{s(x, y), s(y, z)\}.$$

On the other hand, we know that s is Z-fuzzy transitive. So, we get

$$\min\{s(x, y), s(y, z)\} \leq s(x, z).$$

Then, we obtain,

$$\min\{s_0(x, y), s_0(y, z)\} \leq s(x, z), \text{ for every } s \in A.$$

Since $s_0(x, z) = \inf_{s \in A} s(x, z)$, so we get

$$\min\{s_0(x, y), s_0(y, z)\} \leq s_0(x, z).$$

Thus, s_0 is Z-fuzzy transitive. Therefore, s_0 is a Zadeh's fuzzy order on X .

Claim 2. We have: $r \leq s_0$. Indeed if $x, y \in X$, then we get

$$r(x, y) \leq s(x, y), \text{ for every } s \in A.$$

So, we obtain

$$r(x, y) \leq s_0(x, y), \text{ for every } (x, y) \in X^2.$$

Thus, we have $r \leq s_0$.

Claim 3. For every $a, b \in X$, we have

$$(r(a, b) = r(b, a) = 0) \Rightarrow (s_0(a, b) = s_0(b, a) = 0).$$

Indeed, assume that $r(a, b) = r(b, a) = 0$. Then, from Theorem 2.1, there exists two linear Zadeh's fuzzy orders of r , s_1 and s_2 , say such that

$$\begin{cases} s_1(a, b) = 1 \\ s_1(b, a) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} s_2(a, b) = 0 \\ s_2(b, a) = 1. \end{cases}$$

As $s_0 \leq s_1$ and $s_0 \leq s_2$, hence we get $s_0(a, b) = s_0(b, a) = 0$.

Claim 4. We have: $r = s_0$. Indeed, we know that $r \leq s_0$. By absurd assume that $r \neq s_0$. Then, there is $a, b \in X$ such that $r(a, b) < s_0(a, b)$. Hence, we get $s_0(a, b) > 0$. As s_0 is Z-fuzzy antisymmetric, so we obtain $s_0(b, a) = 0$. On the other hand, we know that $r \leq s_0$. Then, $r(b, a) = 0$. Now, we claim that $r(a, b) > 0$. On the contrary assume that $r(a, b) = 0$. So, we get $r(a, b) = r(b, a) = 0$. By using Claim 2, we obtain $s_0(a, b) = s_0(b, a) = 0$. That

is a contradiction with the fact that $s_0(a, b) > 0$. As $r(a, b) > 0$, then from Lemma 3.2, there exists $s \in A$ such that $s(a, b) = r(a, b)$. Since $s_0 \leq s$, hence we get $s_0(a, b) \leq r(a, b)$. That is a contradiction with our assumption that $r(a, b) < s_0(a, b)$. Therefore, we obtain $r = s_0$. $\square$

4 Examples of construction of linear extensions of Zadeh's fuzzy orders

In this section we will give some examples of construction of linear extensions of Zadeh's fuzzy orders by applying Theorem 2.1.

1. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and r be the Zadeh's fuzzy order on X defined by the following table

	a	b	c
a	1	0	γ
b	0	1	0
c	0	0	1

By using Lemma 2.2, we get in the first step a Zadeh's fuzzy order r_1 which extends r and it is defined by $r_1(x, y) = \max\{r(x, y), \min(r(x, a), r(b, y))\}$. Then, we represent r_1 by the following table

	a	b	c
a	1	1	γ
b	0	1	0
c	0	0	1

Since r_1 is not linear, then we apply again Lemma 2.2 for r_1 . So, we get a Zadeh's fuzzy order r_2 extending r_1 (also r_1 extends also r) defined by

$$r_2(x, y) = \max\{r_1(x, y), \min(r_1(x, b), r_1(c, y))\}.$$

We can represent r_2 by the following table

	a	b	c
a	1	1	1
b	0	1	1
c	0	0	1

The procedure stop here because r_2 is a linear Zadeh's fuzzy order extending r .

In this example the number of application of Lemma 2.2 is $k = 2$. In this case, we have $k = \frac{m}{2}$ such that $m = \text{card}(A)$ with $A = \{(a, b), (b, a), (b, c), (c, b)\}$.

2. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and r be the Zadeh's fuzzy order on X defined by the following matrix:

	a	b	c	d
a	1	0	γ	λ
b	0	1	α	β
c	0	0	1	0
d	0	0	0	1

By using Lemma 2.2, we get in the first step a Zadeh's fuzzy order r_1 which extends r and it is defined by $r_1(x, y) = \max\{r(x, y), \min(r(x, a), r(b, y))\}$. Hence, we represent r_1 by the following table:

	a	b	c	d
a	1	1	$\gamma \vee \alpha$	$\lambda \vee \beta$
b	0	1	α	β
c	0	0	1	0
d	0	0	0	1

As r_1 is not linear, then we can still apply Lemma 2.2 for r_1 . So, we obtain a Zadeh's fuzzy order r_2 , say, which extends r_1 and it is defined by

$$r_2(x, y) = \max\{r_1(x, y), \min(r_1(x, c), r_1(d, y))\}.$$

Then, we represent r_2 by the following table:

	a	b	c	d
a	1	1	$\gamma \vee \alpha$	$\lambda \vee \beta \vee \gamma \vee \alpha$
b	0	1	α	$\beta \vee \alpha$
c	0	0	1	1
d	0	0	0	1

The procedure stop here because r_2 is a linear Zadeh's fuzzy order extending r . In this example the number of application of Lemma 2.2 is $k = 2$. Thus, we have $k = \frac{m}{2}$ where $m = \text{card}(A)$ with $A = \{(a, b), (b, a), (c, d), (d, c)\}$.

3. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7\}$ and r be the Zadeh's fuzzy order on X defined by the following matrix:

	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	x_7
x_1	1	0	0	0.55	0.40	0.45	0.60
x_2	0	1	0	0.60	0.50	0.35	0.75
x_3	0.15	0	1	0.30	0.70	0.80	0.90
x_4	0	0	0	1	0	0.15	0
x_5	0	0	0	0	1	0.30	0.25
x_6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
x_7	0	0	0	0	0	0.20	1

By applying a finite number of times Lemma 2.2, we obtain a linear extension of r which we represented by the following matrix

	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	x_7
x_1	1	1	0	0.60	0.60	0.45	0.75
x_2	0	1	0	0.60	0.60	0.35	0.75
x_3	0.15	0.15	1	0.30	0.70	0.80	0.90
x_4	0	0	0	1	1	0.30	0.25
x_5	0	0	0	0	1	0.30	0.25
x_6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
x_7	0	0	0	0	0	0.20	1

References

- [1] I. Beg, On fuzzy Zorn's lemma, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 101(1) (1999), 181-183.
- [2] I. Beg, Extension of fuzzy Zorn's lemma to non transitive fuzzy relations, *J. Fuzzy Math.* 10(3)(2002), 681-685.
- [3] I. Beg, Some applications of fuzzy ordered relations, *CUBO* 6(1)(2004), 103-114.
- [4] A. Billot, Economic theory of fuzzy equilibria, *Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical systems -373* Springer-Verlag Berlin, 1992.
- [5] U. Bodenhofer, De B. Bernard and F. Janos, A compendium of fuzzy weak orders: Representations and constructions, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 158(8)(2007), 811-829.
- [6] S. Kundu, Similarity relations, fuzzy linear orders and fuzzy partial orders, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 109(3)(2000), 419-428.

- [7] S. V. Ovchinnikov, An introduction to Fuzzy relations, in D. Dubois, H. Prade, *Fundamentals of fuzzy sets*, The Handbooks of fuzzy sets, vol. 7, Kluwer Academic Publisher Boston 2000, 233-259.
- [8] J. Szpilrajn, Sur l'extension de l'ordre partiel, *Fund. Math.* 16(1930), 386-389.
- [9] A. Stouti, Fixed point theory for fuzzy monotone multifunctions, *J. Fuzzy Math.* 11(2)(2003), 455-466.
- [10] P. Venugopalan, Fuzzy ordered sets, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 46(1992), 221-226.
- [11] L.A. Zadeh, Similarity relations and fuzzy orderings *Info. Sci.* 3(1971), 177-200.
- [12] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Inform. and Control.* 8(1965), 338-353.
- [13] H.J. Zimmermann, *Fuzzy set theory and its applications*, Kluwer Academic Publisher Dordrecht, 1991.